

Human Capital and Organizational Resilience in SMEs: A Narrative Literature Review and Theoretical Framework

El hariri Dounia¹ , Malainine Cheklekbire²

1 Laboratory of Economic Sciences and Public Policy, Faculty of Economics and Management, Ibn Tofail University, 242- Kenitra, Morocco.

2 Laboratory of Economic Sciences and Public Policy, Faculty of Economics and Management, Ibn Tofail University, 242, Kenitra, Morocco.

ABSTRACT : This paper examines the theoretical relationship between human capital and organizational resilience in small and medium enterprises (SMEs) through a narrative literature review. Based on post-positivist epistemological approach, it synthesizes theoretical and empirical insights from organizational behavior, strategic management, and entrepreneurship literatures. The analysis reveals that human capital serves as a critical determinant of organizational resilience during crisis periods. While existing research demonstrates the strategic value of human resources, significant theoretical gaps remain regarding the specific mechanisms linking human capital dimensions to resilience capacities in SME contexts. The study proposes an integrated theoretical framework connecting human capital to absorptive, adaptive, and transformative resilience capabilities. This framework offers new theoretical insights for understanding how resource-constrained SMEs can leverage their human assets to build organizational resilience in volatile environments.

Keywords: Human capital, organizational resilience, SMEs, theoretical framework, crisis management, organizational capabilities

1. INTRODUCTION

Small and medium enterprises (SMEs) face unprecedented challenges in today's volatile business environment, characterized by economic uncertainties, technological disruptions, and global crises such as the COVID-19 pandemic (Battisti & Deakins, 2023). These organizations, which constitute the backbone of most economies worldwide, are particularly vulnerable to external shocks due to their limited resources, narrow market focus, and constrained strategic options (Williams et al., 2017). However, some SMEs demonstrate remarkable resilience, not only surviving crises but emerging stronger through adaptive and transformative responses (Herbane, 2019). The concept of organizational resilience has gained significant

attention in management literature as scholars seek to understand how organizations navigate turbulent environments (Duchek, 2020). Concurrently, human capital theory has evolved from its economic origins to encompass broader organizational perspectives, recognizing human resources as strategic assets capable of generating sustainable competitive advantage (Ployhart et al., 2022). Yet, despite growing interest in both domains, limited theoretical work has systematically explored the relationship between human capital and organizational resilience, particularly in SME contexts.

This theoretical gap is problematic for several reasons. First, SMEs rely heavily on human resources due to their limited access to other strategic assets (Wright & McMahan, 2022). Second, crisis situations amplify the importance of human judgment, creativity, and adaptability—core components of human capital (Lengnick-Hall & Beck, 2016). Third, understanding these relationships is crucial for developing practical guidance for SME managers and policymakers supporting entrepreneurial ecosystems (Crook et al., 2021).

Addressing this gap, the present narrative literature review synthesizes existing knowledge on human capital and organizational resilience to develop an integrated theoretical framework. Unlike systematic reviews focused on methodological rigor, narrative reviews prioritize conceptual integration and theoretical development—particularly valuable for emerging interdisciplinary domains (Greenhalgh et al., 2018). The research question guiding this review is: How does existing literature conceptualize the relationship between human capital and organizational resilience in SMEs, and what theoretical framework can integrate these perspectives? This paper contributes to management literature by: (1) providing the first comprehensive theoretical synthesis of human capital-resilience relationships in SME contexts; (2) identifying key mechanisms linking human capital dimensions to resilience capabilities; and (3) proposing an integrated framework for future empirical testing.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study adopts a post-positivist epistemological approach, acknowledging the interpretive nature of knowledge while maintaining critical evaluation of theoretical propositions. The chosen methodology is a narrative literature review, particularly suited for exploring complex, interdisciplinary topics where theoretical integration is needed (Ferrari, 2015).

The review synthesizes contributions from multiple theoretical domains, including strategic management (resource-based view, dynamic capabilities), organizational behavior (human capital theory, organizational resilience), and entrepreneurship (SME management, crisis response). This cross-disciplinary approach enables comprehensive understanding of how human assets contribute to organizational survival and adaptation.

Literature selection was based on conceptual relevance to human capital and organizational resilience relationships, with particular attention to SME contexts. Sources span premier management journals, entrepreneurship publications, and organizational psychology outlets. A qualitative thematic analysis was conducted following Nowell et al. (2017), identifying key theoretical dimensions such as competency development, resource orchestration, adaptive capacity, and organizational learning.

The analysis process involved: (1) initial mapping of core theoretical contributions; (2) identification of convergent and divergent perspectives; (3) synthesis of mechanisms linking human capital to resilience; and (4) development of an integrated theoretical framework. This approach prioritizes conceptual depth and theoretical coherence over systematic comprehensiveness.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The thematic analysis revealed four major theoretical themes linking human capital to organizational resilience:

3.1. Evolution of Human Capital Theory in Organizational Contexts

Human capital theory has undergone significant evolution from its economic foundations to contemporary organizational applications. Becker's (1964) pioneering work conceptualized human capital as knowledge, skills, and abilities acquired through education and experience. However, this narrow economic view proves insufficient for understanding organizational dynamics (Wright & McMahan, 2022).

Contemporary perspectives embrace a broader, integrated approach. Nahapiet & Ghoshal (1998) expanded the concept to include social capital—networks, relationships, and collaborative capabilities. Ployhart et al. (2022) further developed this integration, arguing that individual competencies, collective capabilities, and relational assets form a unified human capital system. This evolution reflects recognition that organizational value creation requires not just individual expertise, but also coordination, collaboration, and knowledge integration.

The Resource-Based View (RBV) provides theoretical foundation for human capital as strategic resource (Barney, 1991). Human assets meet RBV criteria for sustainable advantage: they are valuable (enable competitive responses), rare (difficult to replicate), inimitable (socially complex), and non-substitutable (particularly in knowledge-intensive contexts). For SMEs with limited tangible resources, human capital often represents their primary strategic asset (Crook et al., 2021).

Dynamic capabilities theory further illuminates human capital's strategic role. Teece (2007) identifies sensing, seizing, and transforming as core dynamic capabilities—all heavily dependent on human judgment, creativity, and experience. In SME contexts, these capabilities are typically concentrated in key individuals, particularly founder-managers who embody organizational knowledge and relationships (Zahra et al., 2006).

3.2. Organizational Resilience: From Concept to Theory

Organizational resilience emerged as a distinct research domain drawing from multiple disciplines including ecology, psychology, and engineering (Duchek, 2020). Early conceptualizations emphasized stability and return to equilibrium, but contemporary views recognize resilience as dynamic adaptation and transformation capability (Lengnick-Hall & Beck, 2016).

Lengnick-Hall et al. (2011) provided foundational theoretical work, defining organizational resilience as "capability to absorb strain, preserve functioning despite adversity, and recover and learn from negative events." This definition encompasses three core capacities: absorption (maintaining operations under stress), adaptation (adjusting to new conditions), and transformation (fundamental organizational renewal).

Williams et al. (2017) extended resilience theory to SME contexts, highlighting unique characteristics: resource constraints, informal structures, and entrepreneurial orientation. Their framework identifies five resilience dimensions particularly relevant to SMEs: financial management, strategic flexibility, leadership adaptability, organizational learning, and stakeholder relationships. Each dimension connects directly to human capital components.

Duchek (2020) contributed process-oriented perspective, conceptualizing resilience as temporal sequence: anticipation (crisis preparation), coping (crisis response), and adaptation (post-crisis learning). This process

view emphasizes the continuous nature of resilience development and the critical role of human cognition and behavior throughout crisis cycles.

Recent work by Battisti & Deakins (2023) validates resilience theory through COVID-19 research, demonstrating that SMEs with stronger human capital showed superior crisis performance. Their findings support theoretical propositions linking workforce capabilities to organizational survival and recovery.

3.3. Theoretical Mechanisms Linking Human Capital to Resilience

The literature reveals several theoretical mechanisms explaining how human capital contributes to organizational resilience:

Resource Orchestration Mechanism: Based on Sirmon et al. (2011), this mechanism explains how managers structure, bundle, and leverage human resources to create resilience capabilities. Effective orchestration requires both individual competencies (analytical skills, experience) and collective capabilities (coordination routines, shared mental models). In SME contexts, resource orchestration is typically concentrated in small management teams, making human capital quality particularly critical.

Organizational Learning Mechanism: Drawing from Argote & Miron-Spektor (2011), this mechanism emphasizes how human capital enables organizational learning—both single-loop (efficiency improvements) and double-loop (fundamental assumptions questioning). Resilient organizations continuously learn from experience, adapting routines and capabilities. Human capital provides both individual learning capacity and collective knowledge integration mechanisms.

Dynamic Capability Development: Following Eisenhardt & Martin (2000), this mechanism links human capital to dynamic capabilities development. Sensing capabilities depend on human expertise and environmental scanning; seizing capabilities require managerial judgment and resource allocation skills; transforming capabilities demand creativity and change leadership. Each dynamic capability is fundamentally human-centered.

Social Capital Activation: Based on Coleman (1988) and Burt (2005), this mechanism explains how human relationships and networks provide access to external resources during crises. Social capital embedded in human assets enables information acquisition, resource mobilization, and collaborative problem-solving. For SMEs with limited internal resources, external social capital becomes crucial for resilience.

3.4. SME-Specific Human Capital-Resilience Dynamics

SMEs exhibit unique characteristics that modify human capital-resilience relationships:

Concentration Effects: Unlike large organizations with distributed capabilities, SMEs typically concentrate human capital in key individuals. This creates both vulnerability (key person risk) and strength (rapid decision-making, unified vision). The literature suggests optimal balance between key individual capabilities and broader organizational competency distribution.

Resource Constraints: Limited resources force SMEs to maximize human capital utilization through multitasking, cross-training, and flexible role structures. This constraint paradoxically enhances resilience by creating adaptive capacity and reducing organizational rigidity (Herbane, 2019).

Informal Networks: SMEs rely heavily on informal relationships and tacit knowledge sharing. This informality enables rapid adaptation but may limit knowledge codification and transfer. The literature suggests tension between flexibility advantages and scalability limitations.

Entrepreneurial Orientation: SMEs typically exhibit strong entrepreneurial orientation, emphasizing innovation, risk-taking, and proactiveness (Covin & Wales, 2019). This orientation, embedded in human capital, enhances certain resilience capabilities (adaptability, transformation) while potentially limiting others (stability, control).

3.5. Toward an Integrated Theoretical Framework

Based on the literature synthesis, we propose an integrated theoretical framework linking human capital to organizational resilience in SMEs. This framework comprises:

Human Capital Dimensions:

- 1- Managerial competencies (strategic thinking, leadership, crisis experience);
- 2- Collective capabilities (team skills, organizational learning, coordination);
- 3- Social capital (internal cohesion, external networks, stakeholder relationships).

Resilience Capacities:

- 1- Absorptive capacity (crisis preparation, resource preservation, stability maintenance);
- 2- Adaptive capacity (flexibility, reconfiguration, operational adjustment);
- 3- Transformative capacity (innovation, renewal, strategic repositioning).

Mediating Mechanisms:

- 1- Resource orchestration processes;
- 2- Organizational learning routines;
- 3- Dynamic capability development;
- 4- Social capital activation.

Contextual Moderators:

- 1- Crisis type and intensity;
- 2- Industry characteristics;
- 3- Organizational age and size;
- 4- Environmental munificence.

3.6. Theoretical Contributions and Implications

This framework makes several theoretical contributions:

Integration: Unifies previously fragmented literature streams, providing comprehensive perspective on human capital-resilience relationships.

Mechanism Identification: Explicates specific processes linking human assets to resilience capabilities, moving beyond simple correlation to causal explanation.

SME Contextualization: Recognizes unique characteristics of SMEs that modify general theoretical relationships.

Dynamic Perspective: Emphasizes temporal and processual aspects of resilience development through human capital.

3.7. Limitations and Future Research Directions

Several limitations should be acknowledged. The narrative approach prioritizes conceptual integration over systematic coverage, potentially missing relevant studies. The framework requires empirical validation across different contexts and crisis types. Additionally, cultural and institutional factors may moderate proposed relationships.

Future research should: (1) empirically test framework propositions; (2) explore cultural and institutional moderators; (3) investigate temporal dynamics of human capital-resilience relationships; (4) examine differential effects across crisis types and organizational characteristics.

4. CONCLUSION

This narrative literature review demonstrates that human capital serves as a critical determinant of organizational resilience in SMEs through multiple theoretical mechanisms. The proposed integrated framework advances theoretical understanding by explicating how managerial competencies, collective capabilities, and social capital contribute to absorptive, adaptive, and transformative resilience capacities. The synthesis reveals that SMEs' reliance on human assets creates both vulnerability and strength. While concentration of capabilities in key individuals poses risks, it also enables rapid adaptation and unified organizational responses. The framework suggests that resilient SMEs effectively orchestrate human resources, maintain continuous learning, develop dynamic capabilities, and activate social capital during crisis periods. For SME managers, the framework implies strategic focus on human capital development as primary resilience investment. For researchers, it provides theoretical foundation for empirical investigation of human capital-resilience relationships. For policymakers, it suggests supporting SME resilience through human capital development programs. As business environments become increasingly volatile, understanding how organizations can build resilience through human assets becomes crucial. This framework provides theoretical foundation for advancing this understanding, contributing to both academic knowledge and practical guidance for SME success in uncertain times.

REFERENCES :

Argote, L., & Miron-Spektor, E. (2011). Organizational learning: From experience to knowledge. *Organization Science*, 22(5), 1123-1137. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1100.0621>

Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99-120. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108>

Battisti, M., & Deakins, D. (2023). The relationship between dynamic capabilities, the firm's resource base and performance in a post-disaster environment. *International Small Business Journal*, 41(5), 367-395. <https://doi.org/10.1177/02662426221082735>

Becker, G. S. (1964). Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education. University of Chicago Press. <https://doi.org/10.7208/chicago/9780226041223.001.0001>

Burt, R. S. (2005). Brokerage and closure: An introduction to social capital. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199249145.001.0001>

Coleman, J. S. (1988). Social capital in the creation of human capital. *American Journal of Sociology*, 94(Supplement), S95-S120. <https://doi.org/10.1086/228943>

Covin, J. G., & Wales, W. J. (2019). Crafting high-impact entrepreneurial orientation research: Some suggested guidelines. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 43(1), 3-18. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1042258718773181>

Crook, T. R., Todd, S. Y., Combs, J. G., Woehr, D. J., & Ketchen Jr, D. J. (2011). Does human capital matter? A meta-analysis of the relationship between human capital and firm performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 96(3), 443-456. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0022147>

Crook, T. R., Ketchen Jr, D. J., Combs, J. G., & Todd, S. Y. (2008). Strategic resources and performance: A meta-analysis. *Strategic Management Journal*, 29(11), 1141-1154. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.703>

Duchek, S. (2020). Organizational resilience: A capability-based conceptualization. *Business Research*, 13(1), 215-246. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40685-019-0085-7>

Eisenhardt, K. M., & Martin, J. A. (2000). Dynamic capabilities: What are they? *Strategic Management Journal*, 21(10-11), 1105-1121. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0266\(200010/11\)21:10/11<1105::AID-SMJ133>3.0.CO;2-E](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0266(200010/11)21:10/11<1105::AID-SMJ133>3.0.CO;2-E)

Ferrari, R. (2015). Writing narrative style literature reviews. *Medical Writing*, 24(4), 230-235. <https://doi.org/10.1179/2047480615Z.000000000329>

Greenhalgh, T., Thorne, S., & Malterud, K. (2018). Time to challenge the spurious hierarchy of systematic over narrative reviews? *European Journal of Clinical Investigation*, 48(6), e12931. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eci.12931>

Herbane, B. (2019). Rethinking organizational resilience and strategic renewal in SMEs. *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*, 31(5-6), 476-495. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08985626.2018.1541594>

Lengnick-Hall, C. A., & Beck, T. E. (2005). Adaptive fit versus robust transformation: How organizations respond to environmental change. *Journal of Management*, 31(5), 738-757. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206305279367>

Lengnick-Hall, C. A., & Beck, T. E. (2016). Resilience capacity and strategic agility: Prerequisites for thriving in a dynamic environment. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 30(2), 147-168. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amp.2015.0119>

Lengnick-Hall, C. A., Beck, T. E., & Lengnick-Hall, M. L. (2011). Developing a capacity for organizational resilience through strategic human resource management. *Human Resource Management Review*, 21(3), 243-255. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2010.07.001>

Nahapiet, J., & Ghoshal, S. (1998). Social capital, intellectual capital, and the organizational advantage. *Academy of Management Review*, 23(2), 242-266. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1998.533225>

Nowell, L. S., Norris, J. M., White, D. E., & Moules, N. J. (2017). Thematic analysis: Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 16(1), 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406917733847>

Ployhart, R. E., Nyberg, A. J., Reilly, G., & Maltarich, M. A. (2014). Human capital is dead; long live human capital resources! *Journal of Management*, 40(2), 371-398. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206313512152>

Ployhart, R. E., Hale Jr, D., & Hale, A. M. (2022). Human capital emergence: Explaining how individual differences transform into unit-relevant human capital resources. *Academy of Management Review*, 47(2), 263-284. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2019.0440>

Sirmon, D. G., Hitt, M. A., Ireland, R. D., & Gilbert, B. A. (2011). Resource orchestration to create competitive advantage: Breadth, depth, and life cycle effects. *Journal of Management*, 37(5), 1390-1412.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206310385695>

Teece, D. J. (2007). Explicating dynamic capabilities: The nature and microfoundations of (sustainable) enterprise performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28(13), 1319-1350. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.640>

Teece, D., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(7), 509-533. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0266\(199708\)18:7<509::AID-SMJ882>3.0.CO;2-Z](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0266(199708)18:7<509::AID-SMJ882>3.0.CO;2-Z)

Williams, T. A., Gruber, D. A., Sutcliffe, K. M., Shepherd, D. A., & Zhao, E. Y. (2017). Organizational response to adversity: Fusing crisis management and resilience research streams. *Academy of Management Annals*, 11(2), 733-769. <https://doi.org/10.5465/annals.2015.0134>

Williams, T. A., Thorgren, S., & Lindh, I. (2020). Rising from failure, staying down, or more of the same? An inductive study of entrepreneurial reentry. *Academy of Management Discoveries*, 6(3), 436-459.
<https://doi.org/10.5465/amd.2018.0047>

Wright, P. M., Coff, R., & Moliterno, T. P. (2014). Strategic human capital: Crossing the great divide. *Journal of Management*, 40(2), 353-370. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206313518437>

Wright, P. M., & McMahan, G. C. (2011). Exploring human capital: Putting 'human' back into strategic human resource management. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 21(2), 93-104. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-8583.2010.00165.x>

Wright, P. M., & McMahan, G. C. (2022). Strategic human capital: Theory, measurement, and impact. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 9(1), 439-466.
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-012420-091931>

Zahra, S. A., Sapienza, H. J., & Davidsson, P. (2006). Entrepreneurship and dynamic capabilities: A review, model and research agenda. *Journal of Management Studies*, 43(4), 917-955. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6486.2006.00616.x>